WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

F	rancis	ca Esc	cobar-De Orellana	Case Number:	16-60038MP						
In acco	ordance ng facts	with the are esta	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(blished: <i>(Check one or both, as applicat</i>	f), a detention hearing has bee	n submitted. I conclude that the						
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendar pending trial in this case.										
A	• .	a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant ending trial in this case.									
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT							
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is									
			a crime of violence as defined in 1	8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).							
			an offense for which the maximum	n sentence is life imprisonment	or death.						
			an offense for which a maximum t	erm of imprisonment of ten yea	ars or more is prescribed in						
			a felony that was committed after offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §	the defendant had been convic 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparal	ted of two or more prior federal ble state or local offenses.						
			any felony that involves a minor videvice (as those terms are defined to register under 18 U.S.C. §2250	d in section 921), or any other o	ssion or use of a firearm or destructive dangerous weapon, or involves a failure						
	(2)	18 U.S. release	.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense de pending trial for a federal, state or	scribed in finding 1 was comm local offense.	itted while the defendant was on						
	(3)	18 U.S. convict	.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not ion)(release of the defendant from i	more than five years has elaps mprisonment) for the offense of	sed since the (date of described in finding 1.						
	(4)	will reas	s Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a re sonably assure the safety of (an)oth utted this presumption.	ebuttable presumption that no ener person(s) and the commun	condition or combination of conditions ity. I further find that the defendant has						
			Alter	native Findings							
	(1)	18 U.S.	C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable c	ause to believe that the defend	lant has committed an offense						
			for which a maximum term of impr	isonment of ten years or more	is prescribed in1						
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a),	or 2332b.							
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for will prescribed.	nich a maximum term of impris	onment of 20 years or more is						
			an offense involving a minor victim	under section	2						
	(2)	The def	fendant has not rebutted the presur	nption established by finding 1	that no condition or combination of quired and the safety of the community.						

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\S 1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Case 2:16-mj-60038-JFM Document 3 Filed 01/26/16 Page 2 of 3

		Alternative Findings							
A	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.							
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.							
J	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).							
]	(4)								
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)							
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:							
		·							
		<u> </u>							
	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:							
	A	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.							
	Ä	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.							
		If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.							
	×	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.							
	Ŋ	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.							
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.							
		The defendant lives and works in Mexico.							
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.							
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.							
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.							
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of							
	The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:							
1	, no u	contain account dispute the information contained in the Fredhair Convisce Report, except.							

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:16-mj-60038-JFM Document 3 Filed 01/26/16 Page 3 of 3

In addition:				

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: January 26, 2016

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge